IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR NO.30 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: January 9, 2007

Chanderhas

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Smt.Bhagwati and another

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has already raised entire construction but the constructed portion needs to be plastered and floor is to be laid and the building is to be furnished. The learned counsel further states that the petitioner shall not in any case raise any further construction if the aforesaid finishing of the already constructed portion is permitted.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the statement made by the learned counsel, I dispose of the present petition with a liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the trial Court for seeking the aforesaid permission. If any such application is filed by the petitioner before the trial Court, the trial Court shall keep in view the factum of the

construction having already been raised by the petitioner while disposing of the aforesaid application.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

January 9, 2007 (Viney Mittal)
KD Judge